

<u>Objective</u>	<u>Description</u>	Max <u>Points</u>	Reviewer <u>Points</u>
<b>Project Effectiveness and Efficiency</b>	Degree to which the project promotes waste reduction or recycling or results in an environmental benefit related to solid waste management through the proposed process.	5	
	Conformance with the integrated waste management hierarchy as described in the Missouri Policy on Resource Recovery.	5	
	The projects goals are clearly explained and achievable.	5	
	The amount of funding requested is reasonable in relation to the amount and type of material to be diverted; or the benefits are listed if it is another type of project (such as educational).	5	
	Quality of budget - all aspects of the project have been included and the expenses are reasonable.	5	
<b>Organizational Capacity</b>	Past performance rating. Evaluation of past district grantee performance including timeliness of reports, financial management and technical ability to complete. <i>If new applicant, letters of support/recommendation.</i>	5	
	Previous objectives and diversion goals met. <i>If new applicant, letters of participation.</i>	5	
	The managerial, operational, and/or technical capabilities of key project personnel are defined.	5	
	Clear explanation of how records will be kept of collected materials.	5	
<b>Long Term Effect</b>	Project is designed to become stand alone at some point after completion, even without support from the district.	5	
	Focus on an unmet need. New targeted item, or hard to recycle, or banned from landfill item.	5	
	Continued availability of feedstock or material to be recycled. The applicant has secured adequate sources to recover the anticipated volume of materials.	5	
<b>Measurable Outcomes</b>	The type and estimated amount of material anticipated to be recovered is properly identified.	5	
	The project will generate necessary participation rates for the program or service.	5	
	Cost per ton is reasonable, considering type of material stream.	5	
	Effectiveness and quality of marketing strategy.	5	
<b>Community</b>	Degree to which the project contributes to community-based economic development. Applicant clearly identified the evidence of efforts to promote sustainable economic development in the District.	5	
	Transferability of results. Extent to which project innovations and success may be applied elsewhere in the District.	5	
	Educational impact within the community.	5	
	Evidence of cooperative efforts to work with or partner with other organizations in the District.	5	

Reviewer's Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Total Score \_\_\_\_\_